## **Leadership Across Difference**







## Tips from A-Z

These research-based tips come from many sources used in the <u>LEAD Office</u>'s "<u>Leadership Across Difference</u>" program at George Mason University. Go beyond individual actions to consider groups, organizations & systems.

Alignment: Find a baseline of agreement before addressing controversial topics ("We're both X", "We agree on X") Biases: Audit your contacts, compassionately uncover biases & seek positive examples that counter stereotypes **Contact:** Promote intergroup contact with conditions (e.g., common goal) to lower prejudice & boost understanding <u>Distract, Delegate, Document, Direct, Delay</u>: Support those being harassed w/ the <u>5Ds</u> of Bystander Intervention Ethical Analysis: Balance ethical principles. Consider 5Cs: Character, Code, Consequences, Care & Consult Fake It: Surprisingly, action often needs to precede attitude. Act how a genuinely compassionate uniter would act Gratitude: Appreciate what's good in your life. Gratitude isn't complacency, it can benefit both you & others Humanize Others: Respect others as human beings, even when you don't respect their views/actions Individuate: Get to know out-group members as individuals, beyond assumptions about their group identity Justice: Promote fairness (not contempt/revenge) when important rules/principles are violated by ppl/systems Know Yourself: Examine your identities, talents, strengths, privilege & power. Recognize & manage your emotions Love Self/Others: Cultivate love/compassion for yourself, expand it even to "enemies." Will the good of the other Media Literacy: Check your sources & their motivation(s). Do you/they fact-check & corroborate information? Necessity: Consider how we need one another. Find pragmatic common goals (e.g., economic/defense interests) One Good Thing: Bridge differences by looking for at least 1 good thing, even in those who have wronged us Pluralism: Explore how multiple/conflicting perspectives each can hold some truth, while some are clearly wrong Questions: Sincerely ask good open-ended questions vs stating facts. Help others generate their own conclusions Reason: Why treat others well? Go beyond reciprocity or reputation & use reason to see the basic dignity of all Scapegoating: Resist the impulse to carelessly & unfairly blame others. Hold the appropriate people accountable **Truth:** Honestly & humbly seek facts. Acknowledge what you don't know & be open to changing your mind Understanding: Listen with sincere curiosity, not just to disprove. Restate another's position to their satisfaction Values: Live by & appeal to cross-cultural values like compassion, fairness, honesty, respect & responsibility Why Good Ppl Do Bad Things: Counteract harmful biases, rationalizations, situational factors & social pressures X it out: Avoid echo chambers, mindless partisanship, assuming motives & demonizing those you disagree with Yourself: Challenge yourself with these tips & expand from there- "Be the change you wish to see in the world" Zero-sum Thinking: Another's gain isn't necessarily your loss. An out-group's success can benefit everyone

Which of these have you found helpful? What would you add?
Which could you try 1st?

For more information, see: <u>lead.gmu.edu/difference</u>



